

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,128	12/27/2000	Yeong-Taeg Kim	SAM1.0081	5001
23386 7590 01/23/2007 MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN, LLP 19900 MACARTHUR BLVD., SUITE 1150 IRVINE, CA 92612			EXAMINER	
			BUI, KIEU OANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2623	
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/749,128	KIM, YEONG-TAEG			
Examiner	Art Unit			
KIEU-OANH BUI	2623			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with ap	endment, affidavit, or other evid peal fee) in compliance with 37	lence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a statutory period for reply expire is the checked, check either box (b) or a statutory period for reply expires the checked in t	ater than SIX MONTHS fr	om the mailing date of the final reje	ction.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		,	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspor shortened statutory period r than three months after t	nding amount of the fee. The appro I for reply originally set in the final C	priate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	nths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or sea	filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered rch (see NOTE below);	because
(c) They have not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	•	materially reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.	
	04 . Can alkaabad Natio	on of Nois Consultant Assessment	+ (DTO) 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.135. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		e of Non-Compliant Amendmer	it (PTOL-324).
<u> </u>			
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, wided below or appended.	or b) ☐ will be entered and ar ed.	ı explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections	under appeal and/or appellant t	fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the cl	aims after entry is below or atta	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the a	application in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No	(s)	
13.	,	B. Kum	W
		KIEU-OANH BUI Primary Examine	

Art Unit: 2623

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the regular television program of Hendricks is being interrupted by the commercial advertisement and the present application calls for (in independent claims) background commercials which are "available and viewable anytime, even when a regular program is being displayed (i.e., the Background Commercials are carried in the background of the regular (non-commercial programming). However, please note that even though the specification are defined what "background commercials" are, yet the claims must specifically state and further defined the "background commercials" to avoid any assumption and/or misunderstood/misinterpretation of the claims. The examiner found no evidence within at least independent claims to further describe background commercials which are "available and viewable anytime even when a regular program is being displayed. This constituites a main argument from the applicant and repeatedly again and again through out the arguments. Therefore, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., background commercials which are available and viewable anytime, even when a regular program is being displayed) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, the election/rejection by original presentation of claims 26-33 is proper and valid since the features of "requiring user permission only", "background commercials with audio bit streams", "notifications the user as ...the user receives background commercials" and "recording the additional audio-visual information of the background commercials" are clearly new subject matters that requires the examiner to further update the search and look for these new features, which would continue to give extra burdens on the examiner forever if these practices would not cease. For example, 10 claims are originally presented, then another set of new 15 claims with new features might be added, if no election requirement occurs, there would be more claims to come.

> KIEU-OANH BUI BRIMARY EXAMINER